## Business of the House

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).- Sir, I want to say something regarding the programme for the entire Session. I would request the Chair to consider two or three aspects. For the debate on the Rajpramukh's Address, only two days are allotted. My desire and the desire of this House also is that two days are insufficient, and that it may be extended by another day. Two days to discuss the Motion of Thanks and also the amendments are hardly sufficient. Again, for the discussion on the Budget, three days are allotted, as usual. It is our experience that three days are very inadequate. We find that at the close of these three days, there will be a rush of members to take part in the discussion. Members cannot do full justice in discussing the Budget. Last time also, I had pleaded that the three days might be extended to five days, as three days were insufficient. This may be considered. Similarly again, two days have been set apart for the discussion on the Fact-Finding Committee Report. That is an important subject and I do not know what turn it will take. I am anxious that Members who take part must have their full say without restriction of time. I suggest that these two days also may be extended. Regarding recess, perhaps in consultation with Government, the Speaker has allotted three days. Perhaps this corresponds to Ugadi. Last year, there was a good deal of confusion. For the Ugadi, at least three clear days should be allowed for the Members to stay with their families. The first day is for *Bevubella*, the second day for *Holige* and the third day for *Kari*. (*Laughter.*) So I request the Chair to be generous to permit us to stay for another day. One day earlier—(looking into the programme) in fact, there is no holiday at all. Sunday.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—One day is given.

Sri. J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Monday also should be given as a holiday, Sir. We are spending more than a month at Bangalore. Should we not have one or two days more to go home and stay with our families ? That also may be considered. I request that these four points may be considered by the Chair.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In consultation.....

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—Sir, I have something to say...

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Leader of the House).—Sir, these things are done more informally. It is not appropriate to take up the time of the House for these matters.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—Sir, it is only after the question-hour that we are entitled to point out certain suggestions for the consideration of the Speaker. After the general discussion on the Budget, you have allotted 12 days for the Voting of Demands. We have been pressing that 12 days are insufficient. For this purpose 15 days may be allotted so that we may do justice for Voting of Demands. Another point is, you have allotted little time for the discussion of Bills. Very important Bills are going to come up before this House. I feel that more time should be allotted for that purpose also.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We shall not waste our time. As the Leader of the House has observed, we can meet informally, discuss these matters and come to a decision. But we should keep one point in view: we have to pass the Budget before the 31st of next month.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—That can be done, Sir.

Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA (Sagar-Hosanagar).— Sir, I had given notice of an adjournment motion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That has been received after the due time. We will consider it tomorrow.

---

## PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—Sir, I beg to lay on the table :

The Finance Accounts 1951-52 and Audit Report 1952 and the

Appropriation Accounts, 1951-52 and Audit Report, 1953.

The list of promises made by Government during the previous sessions and action taken thereon.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table :

The Mysore Essential Articles (Temporary Powers) Ordinance, 1955.

Copies of Notifications Nos. T. 2511—R. T. 158-53-18, dated 17th August 1954 and T. 3140-R. T. 124-53-6, dated 21st September 1954 issued under the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939.

Copy of Notification No. I. 3151—Ind. 7-54-4, dated 13th November 1954, issued under the Mysore State Aid to Industries Act, 1951.

---

1 P.M.

## Chair's observation *re.* Notifications laid on the Table.

Mr. SPEAKER.—According to section 133 (2) of the Motor Vehicles Act 1939, all rules made under this Act, shall come into force, in the absence of any appointed date, from the date of publication in the Gazette. Section 133 (3) requires that the rules should also be laid by the State Government before the Legislature for a period of not less than fourteen days, as soon as possible after they are made, and the Assembly is further given the power to modify them during the session in which they are so laid.

Two notifications have been recently issued, one on the 17th August 1954 and the other on the 21st September 1954. As members are aware, there was a session of the Assembly in the month of October 1954 and it was the duty of Government to have caused those rules to be laid during that session. Without going into the question of the effect of delay in laying the rules on the table as soon as possible, I may state that such delay would amount to a serious curtailment of the rights of the members of the House. In this connection, I may bring to the notice of the House that this is the second time that reference has had to be made to such delay. On a previous occasion in connection with the laying of notifications issued under the Cattle Trespass Act my predecessor in office has made similar observations. I hope that the Gevernment will follow the provisions of the Acts more strictly in future. I may add that when their attention was drawn to this delay, Government have stated that care would be taken to see that such delay is avoided in future.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—When shall we have the opportunity to discuss these rules framed under the Motor Vehicles Act and our opinions ascertained? I do not find mention of it in the list of business. We would like to offer our views on the Rules framed and so time may be set apart for that.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Under the Rules of Procedure, if you want to discuss the rules, you will have to send in amendments and they will be placed before the House for consideration when they can be discussed. So, any amendments to these Rules may be placed before the House and then time will be allotted for the discussion of those amendments. Otherwise, it will be taken that the House will have approved the rules as a whole.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—I think copies of these rules may be supplied to Members.

Mr. SPEAKER.—They have been laid on the table.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Copies of such important rules may be distributed to the Members.